

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/778,996	PAPATHOMAS, KONSTANTINOS I.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Sellers	1712

All Participants:

(1) Robert Sellers.

Status of Application: After Final rejection

(3) _____.

(2) Jack P. Friedman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) rejections

Claims discussed:

1, 51-53, 41 and 75-77

Prior art documents discussed:

Shiobara et al. Patent No. 6,376,100; Christie et al. Patent No. 5,668,059 and Johansson et al. Patent No. 6,090,474

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See the attached response.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)